

Discrete Mathematics for Computer Science, October 26, 2018; Part 1  
Solution/Correction standard

1. (a)  $\forall x \forall y [x \leq y \rightarrow f(x) \geq f(y)].$  [2 pt]

(b)  $\forall x [0 \leq f(x) \leq 5] \wedge \exists x [f(x) = 0] \wedge \exists x [f(x) = 5].$  [4 pt]

For each expression that is not logically equivalent to the ones above: 0 pt.

- 2.
- |      |                                   |               |
|------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| (1)  | $(p \rightarrow r) \rightarrow p$ | Premise       |
| (2)  | $\neg(\neg p \vee r) \vee p$      | (1), L12 (2×) |
| (3)  | $(p \wedge \neg r) \vee p$        | (2), L2, L1   |
| (4)  | $p$                               | (3), L3, L10  |
| (5)  | $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge r$      | Premise       |
| (6)  | $\neg p \vee q$                   | (5), R7, L12  |
| (7)  | $\neg\neg p$                      | (4), L1       |
| (8)  | $q$                               | (6),(7), R5   |
| (9)  | $\neg q \vee s$                   | Premise       |
| (10) | $\neg\neg q$                      | (8), L1       |
| (11) | $s$                               | (9),(10), R5  |
| (12) | $s \wedge p$                      | (11),(4), R4  |
| (13) | $\neg\neg s \wedge \neg\neg p$    | (12), L1 (2×) |
| (14) | $\neg(\neg s \vee \neg p)$        | (13), L2      |
| (15) | $\neg(s \rightarrow \neg p)$      | (14), L12     |

[6 pt]

For each forgotten Law or Rule: -0.5 pt.

If deduction contains a step that is not logically correct: at most 1 pt for the entire exercise.

3. (a) Counterexample:  $A = C = \{1\}; B = \emptyset.$  [1 pt]  
Then  $A - C = \emptyset = B - C$  and  $A \cup C = B \cup C = \{1\}.$  But  $A \neq B.$  [1 pt]

- (b) Suppose  $A - C = B - C$  and  $A \cap C = B \cap C.$  We must show that  $A = B.$  [0.5 pt]  
We show that  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A.$

- (i) Proof of  $A \subseteq B.$  [0.5 pt]  
Let  $x \in A.$  We distinguish the cases  $x \in C$  and  $x \notin C.$

Case 1: Suppose  $x \in C.$  Then  $x \in A \cap C.$  So  $x \in B \cap C,$  and hence  $x \in B.$  [1 pt]

Case 2: Suppose  $x \notin C.$  Then  $x \in A - C.$  So  $x \in B - C.$  So again  $x \in B.$  [1 pt]

From Case 1 and Case 2 we conclude  $A \subseteq B.$

- (ii) Proof of  $B \subseteq A.$  [1 pt]  
This proof is analogous to part (i), by interchanging the roles of  $A$  and  $B.$